

1 Edward J. Morales, Esq., SBN 176062  
BORTON PETRINI, LLP  
2 626 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 975  
Los Angeles, California 90017  
3 Tel: (213) 624-2869  
Fax: (213) 489-3930  
4 emorales@bortonpetrini.com

5 Attorneys for Defendants Lawrence Meadows, Woodside  
Properties, LLC, High Meadows Development LLC  
6  
7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9  
10 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA-WESTERN DIVISION

11 THE LAYFIELD LAW FIRM APC,  
12  
13 Plaintiff,

14 v.

15 LAWRENCE MEADOWS, WOODSIDE  
16 PROPERTIES, LLC, HIGH MEADOWS  
DEVELOPMENT LLC,  
17  
18 Defendants.

Case No. 2:13-cv-07049-JAK-PJW  
*Judge John A. Kronstadt*  
*Judge Patrick J. Walsh, Magistrate*  
*Complaint Filed: 09/23/2013*

**JOINT STATUS REPORT**

19 **TO THIS HONORABLE COURT:**

20 Pursuant to this the order of the Court's Minute Order, the PARTIES  
21 respectfully submits this Status Report describing the status of *Meadows v. The Layfield*  
22 *Law Firm et al.* (Case No. 2:13-cv-00629-CW (MPWx)) (the "Utah Action"). There has  
23 been no change since the last report. **Current Schedule Under the Court's Scheduling**  
24 **Order in the Utah Action (Utah Action Docket # 14):**  
25

- 26 • Fact Discovery concluded on April 1, 2014;

1 • Expert Discovery concluded on September 27, 2014.

2 • The Court held a hearing on the pending motions on August 27, 2014 at 3:00

3  
4 p.m.

5 • At that hearing, the Court denied Meadows Motion to Withdraw Previously  
6 Filed Joint Motion to Dismiss with Prejudice. The Court granted the Joint Motion and  
7 Stipulation for Dismissal with Prejudice. Accordingly, Meadows' third cause of action,  
8 fourth cause of action, fifth cause of action and sixth cause of action were dismissed with  
9 prejudice. Remaining claims regarding a Motion for Leave to Dismiss Claims was  
10 dismissed as moot.  
11

12  
13 • The deadline for filing dispositive motions and *Daubert* motions was August  
14 29, 2014; and  
15

16 • There has been no trial date set.

17 If this schedule is not extended, the parties can generally expect that trial will be set  
18 sometime in the summer or fall of 2015.  
19

20 **A. Presently pending before the Court in the Utah Action are the following:**

21 1. On or about August 5, 2014: Layfield filed a Motion for Partial Summary  
22 Judgment for the remaining claims.  
23

24 2. On or about September 9, 2014: Meadows filed his opposition to the Motion  
25 for Partial Summary Judgment.  
26

27 3. On or about September 30, 2014: Layfield filed a Reply in support of his  
28 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment.

1           4. On or about August 7, 2014: Meadows filed a Motion and Memorandum for  
2 Protective Order and Sanctions.

3  
4           5. On or about August 25, 2014: Layfield filed a Memorandum in Opposition  
5 to Meadows' Motion for Protective Order and for Sanctions.

6           6. On or about September 12, 2014: Meadows filed his Reply in Support of his  
7 Motion for Protective Order and Sanctions.

8  
9           7. On or about October 8, 2014: Layfield filed a Request to Submit the Motion  
10 for Partial Summary Judgment for Decision.

11  
12 **B. Status of the Utah Action.**

13           The parties are awaiting decisions from the Court in the Utah Action on LLF's  
14 Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and on Meadows' Motion for Protective Order and  
15 for Sanctions. There are no other motions pending. Meadows believes additional  
16 production pursuant to Request for Production No. 4 is not yet complete. LLF believes  
17 that additional production and/or privilege log is not yet complete with respect to their  
18 Request for Production No. 3 and the Court's Order which granted LLF's short form  
19 Discovery Motion on February 6, 2014 (Utah Action Docket # 24).

20  
21  
22 **D. Status of Settlement Discussions.**

23  
24           At the March 31, 2014 Hearing, the Court requested that the parties provide a status  
25 update of settlement discussions between the parties in a Joint Report. Pursuant to that  
26 instruction, the status of settlement discussions between the parties is as follows:  
27  
28

1 Each party has made a written offer of settlement which has been rejected by the other.

2  
3  
4 Dated: July 21, 2015

BORTON PETRINI, LLP

5  
6 By: 

EDWARD J. MORALES, Esq.  
Attorneys for Defendants LAWRENCE  
MEADOWS, WOODSIDE PROPERTIES,  
LLC, HIGH MEADOWS  
DEVELOPMENT LLC

7  
8  
9  
10 LAYFIELD AND WALLACE APC

11 By: 

12 Bradley S Wallace, Esq.  
Attorneys for Plaintiff  
13 THE LAYFIELD LAW FIRM APC,  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28